

Report of: Service Director, Public Protection

Meeting of	Date	Agenda Item	Ward
Licensing Sub-Committee	4 August 2011	B5	Tollington
Delete as appropriate		Non-exempt	

Subject: PREMISES LICENCE REVIEW APPLICATION
RE: EDA Supermarket , 504-506 Hornsey Road, London N19 3QW

1. Synopsis

- 1.1 This is an application by David Fordham of Islington Council's Trading Standards team for a Review of the Premises Licence under Section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003. A copy of the review application is attached as Appendix 1.
- 1.2 The grounds for review are related to the licensing objectives:
 - i) Crime and Disorder;

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 To determine the application to review the premises licence under Section 52 of the Licensing Act.
- 2.2 The Committee must have regard to the application and any relevant representations. The Committee must take such steps as necessary for the promotion of the four licensing objectives.

2.3 The steps stated in Sections 52(4) of the Act are as follows:

- a) to modify the conditions of the licence; and for this purpose the conditions of the licence are modified if any of them are altered, omitted or any new condition is added;
- b) to exclude a licensable activity from the scope of the licence;
- c) to remove the designated premises supervisor;
- d) to suspend the licence for a period not exceeding three months;
- e) to revoke the licence;
- f) the Committee also have the option to leave the licence in its existing state;
- g) the Committee also has the power in relation to steps a) and b) to provide that the modification and exclusion only has effect for a limited period not exceeding three months.

3. Background

3.1 The premises currently holds a licence to:

- i) Sell alcohol for consumption off of the premises Monday to Sunday from 00:00 to 24:00;
- ii) 24 November 2005 premises licence was granted following a conversion application for 504 Hornsey Road. Hours Monday to Saturday 08:00 to 23:00 and Sunday 10:00 to 22:30
- iii) 8 August 2006 a new application was made as 504 and 506 Hornsey Road were converted to one premises and to increase the hours to Monday to Sunday 0:00 to 24:00. The application was opposed by Planning, Trading Standards, London Fire and Emergency Planning and 3 local residents. On 25 September 2006 the application was granted in full.
- iv) On 26 October 2009 Kalender Boyraz made an application for the licence to be transferred into his name and to become the DPS. The applications were granted.
- v) On 8 June 2011 an application for review made by Trading Standards was received.

3.2 Papers are attached as follows:-

- Appendix 1: application form;
- Appendix 2: current premises licence;
- Appendix 3: police representations;
- Appendix 4: suggested conditions and map of premise location.

3.3 The Licensing Authority has received 1 letter of representation from the Police.

4. Conclusion and reasons for recommendations

4.1 The Council is required to consider this application for review in the light of all relevant information from the applicant and the licence holder. It may attach such conditions necessary to promote the licensing objectives.

Background papers:

The Council's Statement of Licensing Policy
Licensing Act 2003
Secretary of States Guidance

Final Report Clearance

Signed by


Service Director – Public Protection

Date

21/7/11

Received by

Head of Scrutiny and Democratic Services

Date

Report author: Katie Tomashevski

Tel: 020-7527-3882

Fax: 020-7527-3057

E-mail: Katie.tomashevski@islington.gov.uk

Application for the review of a premises licence or club premises certificate under the Licensing Act 2003

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

Before completing this form please read the guidance notes at the end of the form.

If you are completing this form by hand please write legibly in block capitals. In all cases ensure that your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. Use additional sheets if necessary.

You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

I David Fordham, Service Manager Trading Standards

(Insert name of applicant)

apply for the review of a premises licence under section 51 / ~~apply for the review of a club premises certificate under section 87 of the Licensing Act 2003 for the premises described on Part 1 below~~ (delete as applicable)

Part 1 – Premises or club premises details

Postal address of premises or, if none, ordnance survey map reference or description:

**EDA Food Centre
(a.k.a. EDA Supermarket)
504 Hornsey Road**

Post town: **London**

Post code: **N19 3QW**

Name of premises licence holder or club holding club premises certificate:

Kalender Boyraz

Number of premises licence or club premises certificate (if known) **LN/5847-261009**

Part 2 - Applicant details

I am

Please tick ✓/yes

an interested party (please complete (A) or (B) below)

a person living in the vicinity of the premises

a body representing persons living in the vicinity of the premises

a person involved in business in the vicinity of the premises

a body representing persons involved in business in the vicinity of the premises

a responsible authority (please complete (C) below)

a member of the club to which this application relates (please complete (A) below)

(A) DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL APPLICANT (fill in as applicable)

Please tick

Mr

Mrs

Miss

Ms

Other title
(for example, Rev)

Surname

First names

I am 18 years old or over

Please tick ✓/yes

Current postal address if different from premises address

Post town

Post Code

Daytime contact telephone number

E-mail address (optional)

(B) DETAILS OF OTHER APPLICANT

Name and address
Telephone number (if any)
E-mail address (optional)

(C) DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY APPLICANT

Name and address	David Fordham Service Manager (Trading Standards) Public Protection Division 222 Upper Street London N1 1XR
Telephone number:	020 7527 3458
E-mail:	<u>david.fordham@islington.gov.uk</u>

This application to review relates to the following licensing objective(s)

Please tick one or more boxes

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| the prevention of crime and disorder | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| public safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| the prevention of public nuisance | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| the protection of children from harm | <input type="checkbox"/> |

This application to review relates to the objective to prevent crime & disorder. It particularly relates to the supply by the business of smuggled goods.

On 24th January 2011, 27.8 litres of spirits and 108 bottles of wine were seized by HMRC officers during a joint HMRC / Trading Standards visit, as it was believed that the goods were smuggled. The business was given 30 days to provide proof of legitimate purchase to HMRC, but none was provided.

Six different types of spirits were seized:

Smirnoff Red vodka (5 x 70cl) – Examined by trade mark holder and found to be counterfeit packaging and an inferior quality spirit at the strength of 36.6% abv, rather than the 37.5% of genuine Smirnoff.

Polska vodka (4 x 70cl bottles) – UK duty stamp stuck on but ident code starts with an 'A' rather than a 'V', so known to be fake. Polish language label.

Stolichnaya vodka (8 x 70cl) – English language label stuck over a foreign language label. Duty stamp does not fluoresce.

Glens Vodka (4 x 1l) - Duty stamp does not fluoresce. Self-adhesive back label – sometimes not straight. Wrong '4' on bar code.

Glens Vodka (11 x 70cl) – Self-adhesive back label – sometimes not straight. Wrong '4' on bar code.

Famous Grouse (5 x 70cl) – Duty stamp does not fluoresce. No alcohol by volume or nominal quantity markings. Poor print quality on back label. Trade mark holder believes it is diverted from export stock.

High Commissioner (1 x 70cl) – Duty stamp does not fluoresce.

The wine was recognised by HMRC officers as brands that have regularly been smuggled and supplied in the region without duty being paid.

The total duty that would have been evaded had the seized goods been sold was approximately £432.

Trading Standards are concerned at the possession for supply of illicit alcohol for the following reasons:

- Counterfeit spirits, particularly vodka, may be unsafe to consume.
- Illicit spirits are produced and smuggled into the country by organised crime. It is believed that the profits are frequently used to fund other types of serious crime.
- Smuggling causes huge losses to the UK's tax revenues.

Section 13(4) of Licensing Act 2003 and Regulation 7 of The Licensing Act 2003 (Premises Licences and Club Premises Certificates) Regulations 2005 makes a local weights and measures authority a responsible authority for the purposes of the legislation. Islington Council is a local weights and measures authority and that function is carried out by the trading standards team.

Please provide as much information as possible to support the application (please read guidance note 2):

Here is a summary of events supporting this application

24/01/11 HMRC seizure 27.8 litres of spirits and 108 bottles of smuggled wine seized by HMRC.

Recommendations:

As no evidence of legitimate purchase or other explanation has been provided, it is unlikely that the goods came from reputable supplier. Although it is not unknown for cash and carry businesses to deal in smuggled goods, it would be particularly unfortunate for a trader to innocently buy such a variety of brands that were illicit. It is more likely that the goods were bought from an irregular supplier – such as a person calling to the shop and selling out of the back of a van – although there is no hard evidence to support this contention.

The supply of the wine and the whisky can be considered a financial issue only as they are thought just to be regular products on which the duty has been evaded.

However, the supply of illicit vodka puts public health at risk. Counterfeit vodka often contains methanol – a type of alcohol that is not suitable for human consumption - and is responsible for many deaths worldwide each year. It would not be possible for a retailer to tell the difference between smuggled and counterfeit vodka or to assess how dangerous counterfeit vodka is.

Fortunately, the Smirnoff in this case that has been examined and identified as counterfeit does not appear to be harmful to human health.

There is no record of the business having been advised by Trading Standards of the dangers of buying alcohol from unrecognised sources prior to the seizure. All independent off licences in the borough have since received advice about the law and practical guidance about how they can check they are not selling illicit alcohol and tobacco.

It is for the Committee to consider whether the appropriate remedy for these facts. Should the licence continue, Trading Standards wish to recommend the following conditions are added to the licence :

1. No alcoholic goods will ever be purchased from sellers calling to the shop.
2. Invoices (or copies) for all alcoholic goods on the premises will be kept at the shop and made available to officers from the council, police or HMRC upon request.
3. A stock control system will be introduced, so that the licensee can quickly identify where and when alcoholic goods have been purchased.
4. An ultra-violet light will be available at the premises for the purpose of checking the UK Duty Stamp on spirits as soon as practical after they have been purchased.
5. If any spirits bought by the company have UK Duty Stamps that do not fluoresce under ultra-violet light, or are otherwise suspicious, the licensee shall identify the supplier to Islington Trading Standards and HMRC as soon as possible.

No conditions relating to non duty paid or counterfeit goods have previously been attached by the Licensing Authority.

Please tick ✓ yes

Have you made an application for review relating to this premises before

If yes please state the date of that application

Day		Month		Year	

If you have made representations before relating to this premises please state what they were and when you made them

N/A

Please tick ✓ yes

I have sent copies of this form and enclosures to the responsible authorities and the premises licence holder or club holding the club premises certificate, as appropriate

I understand that if I do not comply with the above requirements my application will be rejected

IT IS AN OFFENCE, LIABLE ON CONVICTION TO A FINE UP TO LEVEL 5 ON THE STANDARD SCALE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 TO MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN OR IN CONNECTION WITH THIS APPLICATION

Part 3 – Signatures (please read guidance note 3)

Signature of applicant or applicant's solicitor or other duly authorised agent
(See read guidance note 4). **If signing on behalf of the applicant please state in what capacity.**

Signature



Date

2nd June 2011

Capacity **Service Manager (Trading Standards)**

Contact name (where not previously given) and postal address for correspondence associated with this application (please read guidance note 5)

David Fordham
Service Manager (Trading Standards)
Public Protection Division
222 Upper Street

Post town London

Post Code N1 1XR

Telephone number (if any)

If you would prefer us to correspond with you using an e-mail address your e-mail address (optional)

STATEMENT OF WITNESS

(Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, r.27.1(1);
Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5B)

STATEMENT OF: (name of witness)

David Mackie MRSC Dipl. Brew

Age: (If over 18 enter "over 18"): Over 18

Occupation: Project Scientist

This statement (consisting of 1 page, signed by me,) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything, which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.

Dated the 08th day of April 2011

Signed

David Mackie

I am employed as a Project Scientist in the Science & Technology department of Diageo Global Supply, Technical Centre Europe, Glenochil, Menstrie, Clackmannanshire, FK11 7ES and I am able to comment on the authenticity of Smirnoff Red vodka, which is manufactured by our Company. I have been employed by Diageo and its predecessors for many years on the process, quality and analytical aspects of spirits manufacture with special emphasis on generic and brand authenticity. In this position I have full access to the Company's production records.

On the 31/03/2011 a sample sent by Doug Love of Trading Standards, Islington, was received at the Technical Centre lab for authenticity analysis. The sample with associated paperwork, coded DL/EDA/1 in bag LA051752, was passed to the lab by Iain MacDonald, Diageo. The liquid purported to be Smirnoff Red Vodka. This was allocated the Reference No. IT-VCF-2011-0024 for our own records. Smirnoff Red vodka sold in the United Kingdom must have the alcohol strength of 37.5% vol stated on the label. My analysis showed that the liquid was inferior quality spirit. The alcohol strength of the sample was measured at 36.6% vol by gas chromatography. Thus, in my opinion, the suspect sample was not authentic Smirnoff Red vodka but an inferior spirit with alcohol strength similar to the specification for the product sold in the United Kingdom. Therefore it appears that the product analysed was inconsistent with the product label and would not be produced by the Company in this form.

Signed

David Mackie

STATEMENT OF WITNESS

STATEMENT OF: JAIN MACLEOD ANTI COUNTERFEIT PROJECT MANAGER
Name Rank

AGE OF WITNESS (if over 18 enter "over 18"): OVER 18

TO BE COMPLETED
WHEN THE
STATEMENT HAS
BEEN WRITTEN

I declare that this statement consisting of 1 page, signed by me is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence at a preliminary enquiry or at the trial of any person, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.

Dated this 29th day of March 2011

SIGNATURE OF MEMBER by whom
Statement was recorded of received

J W
SIGNATURE OF WITNESS

[Empty box for member name]

PRINT NAME IN CAPS

I am the Brand Packaging Anti Counterfeit Manager, employed by Diageo plc, a drinks brand owner and manufacturer, based at the company's Brand Technical Centre at Menstrie in Scotland.

I have many years expertise in the analysis and authentication of brands packaging, including that of Smirnoff vodka, one of our company brands. I have carried out a packaging analysis on the exhibit bearing Smirnoff markings, Lot Code L8303CY00031333502, Bag LA051752, received from Islington Trading Standards service.

I examined the bottle. The bottle profile, emboss detail and markings were incorrect. I concluded beyond any doubt that the bottle was counterfeit and not genuine product as supplied to Diageo for the packaging of Smirnoff Vodka.

I examined the closure. The incorrect machine tooling had been used in it's manufacture. The colours and the printed Smirnoff motifs were incorrect. I concluded beyond any doubt that the closure was counterfeit and not genuine product as supplied to Diageo for the packaging of Smirnoff vodka.

I examined the labelling applied to the bottle. Each label was printed on the incorrect type and quality of substrate, and printed using incorrect artwork separations. The UK Duty Stamp was incorrect and did not comply with the security print specification. I concluded beyond any doubt that the labels were Counterfeit and not genuine product as supplied to Diageo for the packaging of Smirnoff Vodka. Analysis of the packaging showed that the packaging was counterfeit, and that a purchaser would not be receiving the brand that the packaging suggested. The Smirnoff designs and motifs on the closure and labelling are registered trademarks owned by Diageo.

SIGNATURE OF WITNESS J W

Statement of witness

*(Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, r27.1(1);
Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9, Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, ss. 5A(3)(a) & 5B)*

STATEMENT OF **Douglas James Love**


Age of witness (if over 18 enter "over 18") **Over 18**

Occupation of witness **Principal Consumer Services Officer**

This statement, consisting of **one** page(s) each signed by me, is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false or do not believe to be true.

Dated the **25th** day of **July**, 2011


Signed



I am employed in the Trading Standards team of Islington Council. On 24th January 2011 I visited a shop called EDA Supermarket at 504/6 Hornsey Road, N19 with two HMRC officers. The primary purpose of our visit was to inspect the business to see if they had any illicit alcohol on the premises. HMRC officers identified and seized 27.8 litres of spirits and 108 bottles of wine they believed to be illicit. I took possession of the spirits, which had been placed in brown boxes and sealed. The boxes were marked 'EDA' and I took them straight to Trading Standards' evidence store. On 28th February, John Fitzpatrick of the International Federation of Spirits Producers visited the evidence store and examined spirits that HMRC and Trading Standards had seized, including Smirnoff vodka from EDA. He was of the opinion that this was counterfeit and a few days later e-mailed me to indicate that Diageo, the trade mark holders for Diageo were willing to formally examine a sample of Smirnoff from EDA. I labelled a bottle from the seizure as DL/EDA/1 and sealed it in bag LA051752 and sent it to Iain McDonald at Diageo. On 8th April 2011, I received by e-mail statements from Mr McDonald and his colleague, David Mackie that referred to this sample.



Signed



**PREMISES LICENCE
LICENSING ACT 2003**

Premises licence number	LN/5847-261009		
Postal address of premises, or if none, ordnance survey map reference or description			
EDA FOOD CENTRE 504-506 HORNSEY ROAD			
Post town	London	Post code	N19 3QW
Telephone number	020 77263 8719		

Where the licence is time limited the dates
Not Applicable

Licensable activities authorised by the licence
For the Ground Floor
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sale by retail of alcohol

The times the licence authorises the carrying out of licensable activities																																			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sale by retail of alcohol: <table> <tr><td>Monday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Tuesday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Wednesday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Thursday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Friday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Saturday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Sunday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> </table> 	Monday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Tuesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Wednesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Thursday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Friday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Saturday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Sunday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day
Monday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Tuesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Wednesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Thursday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Friday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Saturday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Sunday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															

The opening hours of the premises:																																			
<table> <tr><td>Monday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Tuesday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Wednesday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Thursday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Friday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Saturday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> <tr><td>Sunday</td><td>00.00</td><td>to</td><td>24.00</td><td>the following day</td></tr> </table>	Monday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Tuesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Wednesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Thursday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Friday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Saturday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day	Sunday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day
Monday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Tuesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Wednesday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Thursday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Friday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Saturday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															
Sunday	00.00	to	24.00	the following day																															

Where the licence authorises supplies of alcohol whether these are on and/or off supplies
Off Supplies



Name, (registered) address, telephone number and e-mail (where relevant) of holder of premises licence

Kalender Boyraz
7 York Road
London
N18 2JZ

Registered number of holder, for example company number, charity number (where applicable)


Name, address and telephone number of designated premises supervisor where the premises licence authorises the supply of alcohol

Kalender Boyraz
7 York Road
London
N18 2JZ

Personal licence number and issuing authority of personal licence held by designated premises supervisor where the premises licence authorises the supply of alcohol

ILN/200900101 L B Enfield

Islington Council
Public Protection Division
222 Upper Street
London
N1 1XR
Tel: 020 7527 3031/3803
Email: licensing@islington.gov.uk


Assistant Director - Public Protection

17 DEC 2009
Date of Issue

WITNESS STATEMENT

CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Statement of **Robin Clark** URN:

--	--	--	--

Age if under 18 **Over 18** (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: **Police Officer 181475**

This statement (consisting of: ... **3** pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: Date:

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded (supply witness details on rear)

My name is Robin Clark and I am a Police Sergeant with the Metropolitan Police Service. I currently work as a Licensing officer at Islington Police Station. I have been given this specific responsibility by the Borough Commander who is acting under the written delegated authority of the Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis. A copy of this authority has been lodged with Islington Council Legal Department.

This statement is submitted in response to the review application made by the Mr David Fordham of Islington Council Trading Standards Service regarding the premises EDA Food Centre, 504-506 Hornsey road , N19 3QW

I have read the information provided by the Council officials and note the varied type of counterfeit or smuggled goods found. The sale of counterfeit and smuggled goods is in my view a very serious matter and having assisted the council officials in seizing such goods it is apparent that it is becoming more widespread. If there was no market for the goods the trade would not flourish and as such the licensed trade does not need operators who are willing to break the law as readily as in this case buying goods from the back of a van. It is well documented that there is a strong link between organised crime groups and the trade in counterfeit and smuggled goods and therefore this type of crime is also supporting other types of crime such as the drug trade, people trafficking and even terrorism. As such I would support revocation of the licence or at least a long suspension and addition of the conditions proposed by the officers of Islington Trading Standards Office. I would also point out that the Home office guidance on this offence is revocation of the licence on the first offence and that the Licensing Authorities should seek to support business 's that operate within the law by removing those that trade illegally. Further to this I have consulted with the Safer Neighbourhood Team for the ward where this business is located and copy the reply I received from them.

Sarge

As with the other 2 licensed premises on the Hornsey rd N19, we have had issues with some of the staff selling alcohol to some of the street drinkers even when they are obviously drunk! Over the last couple of years, I have been into the shops several times to ask them not to do this but the temptation is obviously too much .

more recently, at 16.26 hours on the 4th June, I followed a male called ~~Tommy HIGGINS~~ into the shop ~~HIGGINS~~ was very drunk at the time and even swaying on his feet. I had arrested him the same week for D&D. I saw ~~HIGGINS~~ at the counter with 4 tins of larger. I intervened and told a Hassan Boiraz ~~20/07/09~~ that he could not sell ~~HIGGINS~~ the alcohol - needless to say the transaction did not go ahead.

We have had similar problems with both the Londis and Costcutter and we cannot wait for the borough-wide ban on alcohol in the street.

Signature: Signature witnessed by:

Continuation of Statement of **Robin Clark**

The street drinkers are becoming a Ward Priority due to the number of complaints we are receiving, we have issued several PNDs and made some arrests as mentioned but in the main we are powerless to act.

Hope this helps

Mick Murray Pc 547NI
Hillrise Safer Neighbourhood Team
0208 345 3921

Further to this there sadly was an fatal incident on the 4th of June this year which led to the death of one of these " street drinkers " at 20.43 hrs a female was staggering in the road, concerned for her safety another of the drinkers spoke to her and she joined him on the footway, due to her drunken state she fell backwards into the road and hit a slow moving vehicle, she then fell in the road way near the kerb. The cause of death was a traumatic head injury.
Whilst there is no direct evidence that this person had purchased her alcohol from this shop on this occasion it is evident that the premises has been spoken to by police re selling to the street drinkers and yet they had continued to do so.

Signature: Signature witnessed by:

Annex 1 - Mandatory conditions

1. No supply of alcohol may be made under the premises licence-
 - a) at a time when there is no designated premises supervisor in respect of the premises licence, or
 - b) at a time when the designated premises supervisor does not hold a personal licence or his personal licence is suspended.
2. Every supply of alcohol under the premises licence must be made or authorised by a person who holds a personal licence.

Annex 2 - Conditions consistent with the Operating Schedule

1. Staff at the premises shall be regularly trained in the Licensing Provisions. Records of this training shall be recorded and shall be made available to an authorised officer or a police officer with 24 hours of any request.
2. There shall be a minimum of 2 members of staff on the premises after 23.00.
3. CCTV system shall be maintained inside and outside the premises, including a CCTV unit, which monitors the immediate vicinity of the premises. All cameras shall continually record whilst the premises are open to the public and the video recordings shall be kept available for a minimum of 31 days with time and date stamping. Tape recordings shall be made available to an authorised officer or a police officer with 24 hours of any request.
4. Signs will be displayed at the premises to inform people inside or passing the premises that CCTV is in use.
5. The premises shall comply with fire regulations and the provision of the management regulations.
6. The fire safety equipment at the premises shall be maintained to the satisfaction of the London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority.
7. Notices will be prominently displayed at exits requesting the public to respect the needs of local residents and to queue at and leave the premises and the area quietly.
8. Staff at the premises shall request proof of age identification. Such as a UK Drivers Licence or Passport.
9. Notices shall be displayed at the premises to inform customers that proof of identification will be asked for from customers who look to be underage.
10. All refused sales shall be entered into a refusal book which will detail the refused sale and be signed by a manager. The refusals book shall be made available to an authorised officer or a police officer with 24 hours of any request.
12. There shall be no deliveries or refuse collections between the hours of 21.00 and 08.00. No deliveries or refuse collections on Sundays or bank holidays.
13. The licensee shall but arrangements in place to ensure that before serving alcohol to young persons, staff ask for accredited proof of age cards e.g. Citizencard, a Passport, or UK Driving Licence bearing the photograph and date of birth of the bearer.
14. The Licensee shall promote "Challenge 21" or similar scheme.

15. The Licensee shall ensure that staff are trained about age restricted products and ensure that they sign to confirm that they have understood the training. The licensee shall keep records of training and instruction given to staff.

Annex 3 - Conditions attached after a hearing by the licensing authority

Annex 4 – Plans

Reference Number: 115.06/02 Dated: June 06

Suggested conditions

As per current licence

Suggested conditions of approval recommended by Trading Standards

1. No alcoholic goods will ever be purchased from sellers calling to the shop.
2. Invoices (or copies) for all alcoholic goods on the premises will be kept at the shop and made available to officers from the council, police or HMRC upon request.
3. A stock control system will be introduced, so that the licensee can quickly identify where and when alcoholic goods have been purchased.
4. An ultra-violet light will be available at the premises for the purpose of checking the UK Duty Stamp on spirits as soon as practical after they have been purchased.
5. If any spirits bought by the company have UK Duty Stamps that do not fluoresce under ultra-violet light, or are otherwise suspicious, the licensee shall identify the supplier to Islington Trading Standards and HMRC as soon as possible.

