

London Borough of Islington

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

Minutes of the additional meeting of Licensing Sub-Committee C held at the Town Hall, Upper Street, N1 2UD on 16 April 2012 at 10.20am.

Present: **Councillors:** Raphael Andrews, Wally Burgess (Items B1-B2), Barry Edwards (Items B3 – B7) and Tracy Ismail.

COUNCILLOR RAPHAEL ANDREWS IN THE CHAIR

113 INTRODUCTIONS (ITEM A1)

Councillor Andrews welcomed everyone to the meeting and asked members and officers to introduce themselves.

The procedure for the meeting was outlined and those present were informed that it was also detailed on page 3 of the agenda.

114 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (ITEM A2)

Councillors Spall and Horten.

115 DECLARATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS (ITEM A3)

Councillor Burgess substituted for Councillor Spall for Items B1-B2, Councillor Edwards substituted for Councillor Spall for Items B3- B7 and Councillor Ismail substituted for Councillor Horten.

116 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (ITEM A4)

Councillor Ismail declared a personal interest in Item B7 – Michael's Fruiterers as she had been a customer of the shop.

117 ORDER OF BUSINESS (ITEM A5)

The order of business would be B1- B5, B7 and B6.

118 MINUTES (ITEM A6)

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the meetings held on the 2 February 2012 and the 12 March 2012 be confirmed as an accurate record of proceedings and the Chair be authorised to sign them.

119 BABEL EVENT, CALEDONIAN PARK, MARKET ROAD, N7 – APPLICATION FOR A NEW PREMISES LICENCE UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (Item B1)

The licensing officer informed the Sub-Committee that the applicant had sent additional papers in support of the application on Friday 13 April. These had been sent to members and would be interleaved with the agenda papers.

The noise officer reported that he had received a draft noise management plan but final details were still to be obtained. He suggested that there be a noise condition attached to the licence regarding the approval of a noise management plan. This was agreed by the applicant.

Sarah and Miriam Ashwell spoke against the application. They were in favour of a one off event in the park, although they would expect the event to be managed properly with the efficient removal of the public from the park following the performance. They would expect stringent noise conditions to be applied to the licence and welcomed the revised timings from the applicant, although they would prefer that people would be expected to leave the area by 22:00 hours.

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

The licensing officer reported that they would be monitoring the situation for the first few evenings and action would be taken as necessary to ensure that the event ran smoothly.

The applicants, David Micklem and Graham Lister from Babel Event, spoke in support of the application. They informed the Sub-Committee that the event would need to run until 22:15 for the light levels to be correct at the end of the performance. The audience would be allowed in the park from 20:15, the first part of the event would commence at 20:30. The main part of the event would start promptly at 21:15 in order to meet the 22:15 finish time. They reported that the food and alcohol would not be served after 21:30 hours.

In response to questions, the applicant reported that it was more appropriate to have the entrance in Shearling Way for the story performance. They would expect that the audience would not bring alcohol into the park. The gates to the park would be locked by 23:00 hours.

Members of the Sub-Committee left the room to consider their decision.

RESOLVED:

a) That having considered all the evidence submitted and having given consideration to the Licensing Act 2003 and its regulations, the national guidance and the Council's licensing policy, the premises licence in respect of Babel Event, Caledonian Park, Market Road, N7, be granted to facilitate an outdoor theatre performance within Caledonian Park from the 7 May 2012 until the 27 May 2012 and to allow:

- i) The supply of alcohol, on sales only, Mondays to Sundays from 20:30 until 21:30;
- ii) The performance of plays, Mondays to Sundays from 18:30 until 22:15;
- iii) The performance of live music, Mondays to Sundays from 18:30 until 22:15
- iv) The playing of recorded music, Mondays to Sundays from 18:30 until 22:15

There shall be no performance on the 14 May 2012.

b) That the conditions as outlined in appendix 4 as detailed on page 129 of the agenda subject to the following additions and amendment, be applied to the licence.

- The licensee shall develop and comply with a noise management plan, the plan is to be approved in association with the Council's noise team. This plan will stipulate how noise will be controlled at the event and include (but not be limited to):
 - amplified music, agreed levels and monitoring positions
 - generator noise
 - rehearsals
 - site set up and take down
 - deliveries
 - patron dispersal
 - monitoring and complaint response.

The event shall take place strictly in accordance with the details so approved and no change therefrom shall take place without the prior written consent of the Noise Team.

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

- No drinks shall be served in glass.
- No persons shall bring alcohol into the park for the duration of the licence.
- No soft drinks in glass containers shall be brought into the park for the duration of the licence.
- Condition 4 to read. The licensee shall adopt Challenge 25.

REASONS FOR DECISION

The Sub-Committee considered the submissions put forward by the responsible authorities, the interested parties and the applicant and balanced the conflicting needs of residents and the business interests of the applicant.

The Sub-Committee noted that the applicant accepted the conditions put forward by the responsible authorities.

The Sub-Committee noted that the applicant had amended the application following consultation with residents and relevant officers. Further conditions were added by the Sub-Committee in order that the licensing objectives would be promoted.

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee took into particular consideration Licensing Policy 023 regarding the location of the premises, the character of the area, the views of the interested parties and the proposed hours of operation and Licensing Policy 010 regarding the protection of the amenity of residents and business in the vicinity of licensed premises. The Sub-Committee also considered Licensing Policy 021 regarding flexibility in licensing hours, Licensing Policy 017 regarding the use of toughened glassware and polycarbonates and Licensing Policy 013 regarding the use of a comprehensive operating schedule.

The Sub-Committee considered that with the conditions detailed on appendix 4 on page 129 of the report subject to the amendments, the licensing objectives would be promoted.

120 KORKMAZ FOOD CENTRE, 363-365 HOLLOWAY ROAD, LONDON, N7 ORN – APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE VARIATION UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (Item B2)

Mr Greenford, agent and Ocal Korkmaz, licensee, spoke in support of the variation. The Sub-Committee noted that, following discussions with the police, the hours for the sale of alcohol had been amended to 06:00 until 02:00. There had been no objections to the licence other than from the police.

The police licensing officer reported that he found that these amended hours were more acceptable than the original application for a 24 hour licence.

Members of the Sub-Committee left the room to consider their decision.

RESOLVED:

a) That having considered all the evidence submitted and having given consideration to the Licensing Act 2003 and its regulations, the national guidance and the Council's licensing policy, the premises licence variation in respect of Korkmaz Food Centre, 363365 Holloway Road, N7 be granted to permit the supply of alcohol, off sales only, from 06:00 until 02:00, 7 days a week.

b) Conditions of the current premises licence be applied to the licence.

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

REASONS FOR DECISION

The Sub-Committee considered the submissions put forward by the responsible authorities and the applicant and balanced the conflicting needs of residents and the business interests of the applicant.

The applicant made representation that he was willing to reduce the timing for the supply of alcohol to 02:00 Sunday to Saturday. The police were willing to withdraw their representation on that basis.

The Sub-Committee considered that the licensing objectives would be promoted by the revised application.

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee took into particular consideration Licensing Policy 023 regarding the location of the premises, the character of the area, the views of the interested parties and the proposed hours of operation and Licensing Policy 021 regarding flexibility in licensing hours.

121 SAINSBURY'S, 643-646 HOLLOWAY ROAD, LONDON, N19 - APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE VARIATION UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (Item B3)

Robert Botkai, solicitor and Simon Hoggard, area manager, spoke in support of the application. He informed the Sub-Committee that he did not consider that the noise condition was necessary although he would be happy to accept the condition if imposed.

RESOLVED:

a) That, having considered all the evidence submitted and having given consideration to the Licensing Act 2003 and its regulations, the national guidance and the Council's licensing policy, the Sub-Committee have decided to grant the application for a variation premises licence in respect of Sainsbury's, 643-645 Holloway Road, N19 to:

- i) Allow the supply of alcohol, off sales only, Mondays to Sundays from 06:00 until 00:00 and to
- ii) Remove the embedded restrictions and conditions in Annex 2 of the current premises licence.

The following conditions shall apply to the licence:

- i) Conditions of the current premises licence with the deletion of condition 7, Annex 2.
- ii) Conditions as outlined in appendix 4 as detailed on page 203 of the agenda subject to the deletion of noise condition 8.

REASONS FOR DECISION

The Sub-Committee considered the submissions put forward by the responsible authorities, the interested party and the applicant and balanced the conflicting needs of residents and the business interests of the applicant.

The Sub-Committee considered that the further condition put forward by the noise team was unnecessary due to the timing of the waste disposal put forward by Sainsbury's. The Sub-Committee therefore deleted the condition.

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee took into particular consideration Licensing Policy 023 regarding the location of the premises, the character of the area, the views of the interested parties and the proposed hours of operation and Licensing Policy 010 regarding the protection of the amenity of residents and business in the vicinity of licensed premises.

The Sub-Committee considered that with the conditions detailed on appendix 3 on page 203 of the report subject to the amendment, the licensing objectives would be promoted.

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

122 TESCO, 291-295 HORNSEY ROAD, LONDON, N19 4HN – APPLICATION FOR A NEW PREMISES LICENCE UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (Item B4)

Jeremy Bark, agent and Greg Bartley, Tesco licensing manager, spoke in support of the application. Mr Bark outlined their policies and accepted an amendment to the CCTV condition.

In response to questions, the legal officer reported that this off-licence was not in a cumulative impact zone and no evidence of saturation had been submitted regarding this application. In this instance it would be difficult to refuse the application on these grounds.

RESOLVED:

a) That, having considered all the evidence submitted and having given consideration to the Licensing Act 2003 and its regulations, the national guidance and the Council's licensing policy, the premises licence in respect of Tesco, 291-295 Hornsey Road, N19 4HN be granted to allow the premises to sell alcohol, for consumption off the premises, from 06:00 until 23:00 Monday to Sunday.

b) Conditions as outlined in appendix 3 as detailed on page 234 of the agenda be applied to the licence with the following amendment to condition 1.

Condition 1 to read. The premises shall have a digital CCTV system that covers many areas of the shop floor, including the proposed area which will be used for beer and wine. Images shall be retained for a minimum of 31 days and made available on enforcement request.

REASONS FOR DECISION:

The Sub-Committee considered the submissions put forward by the responsible authority, the interested parties and the applicant and balanced the conflicting needs of residents and the business interests of the applicant.

The Sub-Committee noted that the applicant accepted the conditions put forward by the responsible authority.

The Sub-Committee accepted the applicant's offer to keep CCTV footage for a period of 31 days in line with council policy.

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee took into particular consideration Licensing Policy 023 regarding the location of the premises, the character of the area, the views of the interested parties and the proposed hours of operation.

The Sub-Committee considered that with the conditions detailed on appendix 3 on page 234 of the report subject to the amendments, the licensing objectives would be promoted.

123 CRISP 'N' FRESH, 618 HOLLOWAY ROAD, LONDON, N19 6PB – APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE REVIEW UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (Item B5)

The trading standards officer highlighted the main reasons for the review. It was also reported that following the submission of the review, trading standards had visited the premises on the 23 February and noted that the licensees were using the UV light, the refusals book and had posters up in the premises. He was reasonably confident regarding the future compliance of the premises and was not asking for revocation in this case, although requested that additional conditions be attached to the licence.

Tarkan Adali, supported by Cansu Ayril and Senkay Hussein, spoke against the review. Mr Adali had recently started working at the premises. He reported that, at the time of the underage sale Ms Ayril was under considerable stress. She had now been taken off cashier duties. They had tried to track the invoices relating to the smuggled goods but had been unsuccessful. Since the incident

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

they only used large wholesalers to purchase their alcohol. They had implemented many of the conditions that were proposed by trading standards and were doing their best to ensure that it would not happen in the future.

In response to questions from the Sub-Committee it was noted that this was a small seizure. It was also noted that the refusals book had been taken home with the accounts on the day of a visit by trading standards officers in December 2011. This book was now left on the premises.

Members of the Sub-Committee left the room to consider their decision.

RESOLVED:

a) That, having considered all the evidence submitted and having given consideration to the Licensing Act 2003 and its regulations, the national guidance and the Council's licensing policy, the premises licence in respect of Crisp 'N' Fresh, 618 Holloway Road, N19 6PB be modified to add further conditions to the licence..

b) That the following conditions be applied to the licence.

- i) Conditions of the current premises licence with the deletion of conditions 7 and 10 to avoid duplication.
- ii) Conditions as detailed on page 258 of the agenda.

REASONS FOR DECISION

The Sub-Committee considered the submissions put forward by the responsible authorities and the licensee.

The Sub-Committee noted that the review was brought following smuggled alcohol being found at the premises by the responsible authorities. The Home Office guidance at paragraphs 11.26 and 11.27 identifies criminal activity which the Secretary of State considers should be treated particularly seriously, including the sale of smuggled alcohol. It is envisaged that licensing authorities will use the review procedures to deter such activities and crime. Where licensing authorities determine that the crime prevention objective is being undermined it is expected that revocation of the licence should be seriously considered.

The Sub-Committee noted that on 26 May 2011, officers from trading standards and HMRC seized 3 bottles of counterfeit wine, 3 bottles of smuggled Italian wine and 3 bottles of smuggled whisky. At an officer panel on the 1 November 2011, the licensees Ms Senkay Hussein and Ms Cansu Ayril, were informed about two complaints of underage sales. Following that panel, the Sub-Committee noted that on the 17 December 2011 there was an underage sale of alcohol to a 15 year old female volunteer.

Following this, Ms Ayril said she thought that the volunteer looked old enough and mature. She could not produce a record of refusals. At the Sub-Committee hearing Ms Ayril stated she had taken the refusals book home, together with the invoice for the illicit alcohol and could not find them. It was presented to the Sub-Committee that she had made the underage sale because she was distressed about her dysfunctional relationship and she was not thinking straight.

Representations were made from trading standards, at the Sub-Committee hearing, that effective liaison had been made by the parties and they were satisfied that despite the seriousness of the offences, the implementation of further conditions would be sufficient to promote the licensing objectives.

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee took into particular consideration Licensing Policy 028 to prevent the sale of alcohol to underage children and Licensing Policy 039 regarding the sale of smuggled goods on licensed premises. Given the considerations, the Sub-Committee decided to make amendments to the conditions. The Sub-Committee considered this was necessary and

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

proportionate in all the circumstances to ensure that the licensing objectives were upheld.

124 **STROUD GREEN CONVENIENCE STORE, 153 STROUD GREEN ROAD, LONDON, N4 3PZ – APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE REVIEW UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (Item B6)**

The trading standards officer outlined the reasons for the review. A delivery note that had been omitted from the papers was tabled and would be interleaved with the agenda. The officer highlighted that there had been a previous review of the licence following an underage sale. The vodka had been analysed and had been found not to be harmful to health. A delivery note supplied by the licensee was found to be false. Following the application for the review the premises had been visited by trading standards officers. They had been shown the UV light, a refusals book and noted that posters were in the premises. Officers were concerned that these measures had not been taken after the first review.

Omer Kahraman, agent, supported by Hasan Arpa, the owner of the premises, accepted that a breach had taken place. Underage testing had been carried out in January and April 2011 and the sales had both been refused. Following the review application, all staff had undertaken and passed a BIIAB award in responsible retailing. It was reported that further training would be given on an internal basis at two monthly intervals and externally on a six monthly basis. Stock would not be bought from unknown sources and all stock labelled and the source easily identifiable. Proposed conditions had been implemented and any revocation of the licence would be devastating.

It was noted that Hidir Arpa, the brother of Hasan, had applied to be the designated premises supervisor on the 17 November, six days after the review application. Mr Kahraman stated that this was because it was considered that the business did need some revisions and the intention was that Hidir would become more involved in the business. Refusals books were tabled at the meeting for members.

In response to questions the Sub-Committee noted that the goods had been ordered from a man calling at the store with a catalogue. They were delivered the next day with a delivery note and the licensee did believe that the goods came from a legitimate source. Mr Kahraman offered an additional condition to limit purchases alcohol from reputable suppliers agreed by trading standards.

In summing up, trading standards officers had concerns with the condition that the licensee had offered and considered that it should not be added to the licence. The police reported that they had doubts regarding the integrity of the management and considered that the licence should be revoked. The licensee considered that he had taken all reasonable measures to prevent further breaches with the additional training now in place and had offered a condition to only buy from reputable suppliers.

Members of the Sub-Committee left the room to consider their decision.

RESOLVED:

a) That, having considered all the evidence submitted and having given consideration to the Licensing Act 2003 and its regulations, the national guidance and the Council's licensing policy, the premises licence in respect of Stroud Green Convenience Store, 153 Stroud Green Road, N4 3PZ be suspended for a period of two weeks and modified to add further conditions to the licence.

b) That the following conditions be applied to the licence.

- i) Conditions of the current premises licence.
- ii) Conditions as detailed on page 258 of the agenda.

REASONS FOR DECISION

The Sub-Committee considered the submissions put forward by the responsible authorities and the

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

licensee.

The Sub-Committee noted that the review was brought following smuggled alcohol being found at the premises by the responsible authorities. The Home Office guidance at paragraphs 11.26 and 11.27 identifies criminal activity which the Secretary of State considers should be treated particularly seriously, including the sale of smuggled alcohol. It is envisaged that licensing authorities will use the review procedures to deter such activities and crime. Where licensing authorities determine that the crime prevention objective is being undermined it is expected that revocation of the licence should be seriously considered.

The Sub-Committee noted that a review had been brought in relation to underage sale of alcohol on the 14 October 2010. Following the review, two further underage alcohol test purchases were made and dealt with successfully by the business.

On the 11 November 2011 officers from trading standards and HMRC visited the premises and seized 35.7 litres of counterfeit Star vodka and 282 litres of Italian wine. The total duty that would have been evaded had the goods been sold was approximately £1,022. The counterfeit alcohol was analysed and found not to be harmful to health.

On the 25 January 2012, Hasan Arpa, who was the DPS at the time, attended a PACE interview. He stated he bought alcohol for the business most of the time from a cash and carry business. The seized vodka and wine came from a man calling to the store with a catalogue and showing him orders from other local business. The alcohol was delivered the next day with a delivery note relating to the goods. An invoice was not provided. He said he thought the alcohol was legal. He admitted he received a mailshot sent out in March 2011 from trading standards advising that no sales of alcohol should be made from door to door salesmen. The delivery note appeared to be from Linx Cash and Carry Limited.

At the Sub-Committee meeting Hasan Arpa stated that six days after the seizure, he transferred the licence to his brother Hidir as a management response. Further, that all four staff had been received BIIAB Level 1 award in responsible alcohol retailing. He stated that the business was willing to limit itself to five named wholesalers and advise trading standards if there were to be any deviation from this. He produced for the Sub-Committee his refusals booklets which trading standards examined and deemed to be properly and fully completed as far as could be determined. Hasan Arpa expressed extreme remorse and commitment to working with the responsible authority to prevent any further offending. He stated that the catalogue had made him think that the sales may be legitimate. Trading Standards told the Sub-Committee that Linx Cash and Carry, operate by taking telephone orders from a catalogue however, it would be expected that such invoices would be typed, not hand-written. Hasan Arpa produced an invoice for the Sub-Committee from a legitimate wholesaler detailing legitimate vodka purchased at £7 a bottle and legitimate wine purchased at less than £2 a bottle He told the Sub-Committee that wholesalers often used cheap alcohol as loss leaders and this was how he was taken in. He willingly accepted the extra conditions to the licence put forward by trading standards.

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee took into particular consideration the previous review and the fact that steps had been taken to prevent reoffending. However, they viewed the sale of the counterfeit vodka very seriously as it could have been harmful to health. The Sub-Committee took into consideration the remorseful attitude of Hasan Arpa and the numerous steps he had implemented in a timely manner to prevent reoffending.

The Sub-Committee decided to suspend the licence for 14 days and made amendments to the conditions. The Sub-Committee considered this was necessary and proportionate in all the circumstances to ensure that the licensing objectives were promoted. The Sub-Committee expressed the view that this would give the licensee adequate time to review all his licensing policies and procedures.

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee considered Licensing Policy 039 regarding the sale of smuggled goods on licensed premises.

125 **MICHAEL'S FRUITERERS, 56-58 SEVEN SISTERS ROAD, LONDON, N7 6AA – APPLICATION FOR A PREMISES LICENCE REVIEW UNDER THE LICENSING ACT 2003 (Item B7)**

The licensing officer tabled the notice of hearing response from the licensee and a letter from the licensee to the Sub-Committee from Mrs Economou. These would be interleaved with the agenda papers.

The trading standards officer highlighted the main reasons for the review. He reported that a panel meeting had been arranged in August 2011 following an underage sale. Mr Economou attended an hour after the appointed time and the meeting could not be reconvened. In September 2011 the premises was visited by HMRC officers and 105 bottles of smuggled wine was seized. An invoice was provided in January 2012 but this was found to be a false receipt.

Mr Economou, supported by Mrs Economou, spoke against the review. He informed the Sub-Committee that alcohol was a small part of their business. On the day of the underage sale Mr Economou was not at the premises. He reported that he had tried to attend the panel meeting but that he arrived late. His daughter had rung and informed trading standards that he would be late. The invoice that had been provided to trading standards had been given to him by the supplier who had called to the shop and he thought this was a genuine invoice. Mrs Economou informed the Sub-Committee that she had been attacked six months previously in the shop and felt afraid of the underage volunteer.

In response to questions, the trading standards officer reported that the underage volunteer had simply picked up the item, had taken it to the till and had not engaged in any argument. The trading standards officer reported that the invoice could have been seen as being genuine by the licensee, particularly in cases where goods are delivered to the shop. The licensee did not believe that he had received the guidance sent to his premises about smuggled goods.

The police officer considered that the premises were not being well managed. He noted that Ms Economou felt intimidated and he did not see how this would change in the future. The licensee reported that there was now more than one member of staff serving in the shop and accepted that this would be a condition of the licence.

Members of the Sub-Committee left the room to consider their decision.

RESOLVED:

a) That, having considered all the evidence submitted and having given consideration to the Licensing Act 2003 and its regulations, the national guidance and the Council's licensing policy, the premises licence in respect of Michael's Fruiterers, 56-58 Seven Sisters Road, N7 6AA be modified to add further conditions to the licence..

b) That the following conditions be applied to the licence.

i) Conditions of the current premises licence.

ii) Conditions as detailed on page 258 of the agenda with the following additional condition.

- There shall be more than one member of staff on the shop floor at all times.

Licensing Sub-Committee C – 16 April 2012

REASONS FOR DECISION

The Sub-Committee considered the submissions put forward by the responsible authorities and the licensee.

The Sub-Committee noted that the review was brought following smuggled alcohol being found at the premises by the responsible authorities. The Home Office guidance at paragraphs 11.26 and 11.27 identifies criminal activity which the Secretary of State considers should be treated particularly seriously, including the sale of smuggled alcohol. It is envisaged that licensing authorities will use the review procedures to deter such activities and crime. Where licensing authorities determine that the crime prevention objective is being undermined it is expected that revocation of the licence should be seriously considered.

The Sub-Committee noted that on the 26 July 2011, Mrs Economou, the wife of the DPS, made an underage sale of alcohol to a 15 year old male volunteer. Mr Economou was invited to the officer panel, he did not attend at the appointed time, although his daughter informed trading standards prior to panel that he would be late. When he arrived at panel he was informed that the panel hearings had finished for the day and could not be reconvened.

The Sub-Committee noted that on 15 September 2011, officers from trading standards and HMRC seized 105 bottles of smuggled Italian wine. Mr Economou eventually provided an invoice from Luigi's wholesale department of Mimi's Deli. Lambeth's trading standards advised this genuine business had told them that the invoice was false. The trading standards officer informed the Sub-Committee that there was nothing on the face of the invoice that would indicate that it was not genuine. At the Sub-Committee hearing Mr and Mrs Economou were remorseful and stated that Mrs Economou had made the underage sale due to fear of being attacked, as she had been on a previous occasion. They now insisted on two persons being on the shop floor at all times and agreed that this should be part of their licensing conditions. Mr Economou informed the Sub-Committee that alcohol formed less than 1% of the shop's stock; they were now using wholesalers, did not buy stock from callers to the shop, had a refusals book and were now being trained on a six monthly basis.

Following liaison with the parties, the trading standards officer reported that despite the seriousness of the offences, he considered adding conditions to the licence would prevent further offences being committed and would promote the licensing objectives.

In reaching their decision, the Sub-Committee took into particular consideration Licensing Policy 028 to prevent the sale of alcohol to underage children and Licensing Policy 039 regarding the sale of smuggled goods on licensed premises. Given the considerations, the Sub-Committee decided to make amendments to the conditions. The Sub-Committee considered this was necessary and proportionate in all the circumstances to ensure that the licensing objectives were upheld.

The meeting closed at 4:20 pm

CHAIR