



Report of: Corporate Director of Finance and Resources

Meeting of:	Date	Agenda item	Ward(s)
Pensions Sub-Committee	21 September 2016		

Delete as appropriate	Exempt	Non-exempt

SUBJECT: The London CIV Update

1. Synopsis

- 1.1 This is a report informing the committee of the progress made at the London CIV in launching funds and running of portfolios over the period from June 2016 to September 2016

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 To note the progress made to 6 September 2016
- 2.2 To note that Islington Pension has agreed to move its current Legal and General passive portfolio into the On Fund Costs(OFC) fund .
- 2.3 To note the link to the final version of the July submission from London CIV for information. <http://londonciv.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/LCIV-Pool-Response-Final.pdf>

3. Background

3.1 Setting up of the London CIV Fund

Islington is one of 33 London local authorities who have become active participants in the CIV programme. The CIV has been constructed as a FCA regulated UK Authorised Contractual Scheme (ACS). The ACS is composed of two parts: the Operator and the Fund.

3.2 A limited liability company (London LGPS CIV Ltd) has been established, with each participating borough holding a nominal £1 share. The company is based in London Councils' building in Southwark Street. A branding exercise has taken place and the decision was taken to brand the company as 'London CIV.'

3.2.1 A full time board made up of three Non-Executive Directors and the three Executive Directors of the company which include the Chief Executive (CEO), the Chief Operating Officer (COO) and the Investment Oversight Director (IOD). Hugh Grover (previously Programme Director of the CIV for London Councils) was appointed CEO. Three other posts have also been recruited into.

3.2.2 The company has procured a number of specialist advisors to help not only with the establishment of the ACS (both Operator and Fund) but also with the day to day running of the company for its first few years of trading. Northern Trust were appointed as the Asset Servicer to the ACS (fulfilling custody, depositary and transfer agency roles) in December 2014. Eversheds and Deloitte were both appointed in the Spring of 2014 to help establish the vehicle from both a legal and tax perspective.

3.2.3 As an FCA authorised entity, both the Operator and the Fund are required to go through the FCA authorisation process. The Operator application for authorisation went to the FCA in July and the Fund application submitted in September. The London CIV received its ACS authorisation in November 2015.

3.3 Launching of the CIV

It was noted that a pragmatic starting point was to analyse which Investment Managers (IM) boroughs were currently invested through, to look for commonality (i.e. more than one borough invested with the same IM in a largely similar mandate), and to discuss with boroughs and IMs which of these 'common' mandates would be most appropriate to transition to the ACS fund for launch. Each mandate would become a separate, ring-fenced, sub-fund within the overall ACS fund. Boroughs would be able to move from one sub-fund to another relatively easily, but ring-fencing would prevent cross contamination between sub-funds.

3.3.1 Further discussions have been held with managers, focussing specifically on what would be achievable for launch, taking into account timing and transition complexities. Four managers have now been identified as offering potential opportunities for the launch of the CIV. These managers would provide the CIV with 9 sub-funds, covering just over £6bn of Borough assets and providing early opportunity to 20 boroughs. The sub-funds will consist of 6 'passive' equity sub-funds covering £4.2bn of assets, 2 Active Global Equity mandates covering £1.6bn and 1 Diversified Growth (or multi-asset) Fund covering just over £300m. Those boroughs that do not have an exact match across for launch are able to invest in these sub-funds from the outset at the reduced AMC rate that the CIV has negotiated with managers.

3.4 The Phase 1 launch was with Allianz our global equity manager and Ealing and Wandsworth are the 2 other boroughs who hold a similar mandate. The benefits of transfer include a reduction in basic fees and possible tax benefits because of the vehicle used. Members agreed to transfer our Allianz portfolio in Phase 1 launch that went ahead on 2 December.

3.5 **Progress since June 2016**

The London CIV has also had further success with developing the Fund, opening the LCIV PY Global Total Return Fund investing into the Pyford Global Total Return sub-fund on 17 June and the LCIV RF Absolute Return Fund investing into the Ruffer Absolute Return sub-fund on 21 June. These two funds bring their assets under management up to around £2.4 billion, with 14 boroughs invested across the five sub-funds and some £1.6 million of fund manager fee savings being delivered a year. They are working towards opening the three sub-funds previously trailed with Newton and Majedie acting as sub-managers in the autumn and hope to get the Longview sub-managed fund opened towards the end of this year or early in 2017.

3.5.1 Legal and General pooled passive funds

The next phase where Islington is getting involved is Legal and General (LGIM). Our current Legal and General investment is mainly in Emerging markets and RAFI Emerging index valued at £70million in total. The original plan to pool assets onto the CIV platform has now been abandoned because of structural complexities and the value for money. The CIV have negotiated a reduction of fees for the passive equities held by London Boroughs but this structure will not sit on the CIV platform. All the funds wanting to participate will have to agree to move their portfolios into the On Fund Costs(OFC) fund. These new funds have costs such as custody, license fee, valuations are automatically taken from the fund whilst the previous structure included these costs in the invoiced fee. The projected savings for this external pooling for Islington is projected at around 100k per year effective from 1 July 2016. This does not affect the decision to appoint an active emerging market manager

3.6 **CIV Financial Implications- Implementation and running cost**

A total of 75,000 was contributed by, each London Borough, including Islington, towards the setting up and receiving FCA authorisation to operate between 2013 to 2015. All participating boroughs also agreed to pay £150,000 to the London CIV to subscribe for 150,000 non-voting redeemable shares of £1 each as the capital of the Company. After the legal formation of the London CIV in October 2015, there is an agreed annual £25,000 running cost invoice for each financial year. The transfer of our Allianz managed equities to the CIV in December 2015 was achieved at a transfer cost of £7,241.

3.7 **DCLG -pooling response due on 15 July**

The investment regulations and pooling consultation response requested by DCLG was in two parts, an initial response due in March and detailed submission on pooling due in July. The DCLG/Treasury provided a draft template to be completed by each Pool. The London CIV led on this submission and a copy of the final submission can be found via this link.

<http://londonciv.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/LCIV-Pool-Response-Final.pdf>

4. Implications

4.1 Financial implications:

4.1.1 Fund management and administration fees are charged directly to the pension fund.

4.2 Legal Implications:

4.2.1 The Council, as the administering authority for the pension fund may appoint investment managers to manage and invest an equity portfolio on its behalf (Regulation 8(1) of the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009 (as amended)).

4.2.2 The Council is able to invest fund money in a London CIV fund asset without undertaking a competitive procurement exercise because of the exemption for public contracts between entities in the public sector (regulation 12 of the Public Contracts Regulations 2015). The conditions for the application of this exemption are satisfied as the London authorities exercise control over the CIV similar to that exercised over their own departments and CIV carries out the essential part of its activities (over 80%) with the controlling London boroughs.

4.3 Environmental Implications:

4.3.1 None specific to this report

4.4 Resident Impact Assessment:

4.4.1 The Council must, in carrying out its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination and harassment and to promote equality of opportunity in relation to disability, race and gender and the need to take steps to take account of disabilities, even where that involves treating the disabled more favourably than others (section 49A Disability Discrimination Act 1995; section 71 Race Relations Act 1976; section 76A Sex Discrimination Act 1975.)

An equalities impact assessment has not been conducted because this report is updating members on the implementation of a fund structure by external managers. There are therefore no specific equality implications arising from this report.

5. Conclusion and reasons for recommendations

5.1 The Council is a shareholder of the London CIV and has agreed in principle to pool assets when it is in line with its Fund strategy and will be beneficial to fund members and council tax payers. This is a report to allow Members to review progress at the London CIV.

Background papers:

Final report clearance:

Signed by:

Received by: Corporate Director for Finance and Resources Date

Head of Democratic Services Date

Report Author: Joana Marfoh
Tel: 0207-527-2382
Fax: 0207-527-2056
Email: joana.marfoh@islington.gov.uk

