Audit and Inspection Plan

Date

Last saved: 06/04/2006 15:40:00

Audit and Inspection Plan

Islington London Borough Council

Audit 2006/2007

Document Control	
Author	Les Kidner
Filename	Audit and Inspection Plan final.doc

Status of our reports to the Council

Our reports are prepared in the context of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission. Reports are prepared by appointed auditors and addressed to non-executive members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body, and no responsibility is taken by auditors to any member or officer in their individual capacity, or to any third party.

Copies of this report

If you require further copies of this report, or a copy in large print, in Braille, on tape, or in a language other than English, please call 0845 056 0566.

© Audit Commission 2006

For further information on the work of the Commission please contact: Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ Tel: 020 7828 1212 Fax: 020 7976 6187 Textphone (minicom): 020 7630 0421 www.audit-commission.gov.uk

Contents

Introduction	4
Our responsibilities	4
The fee	5
CPA and inspections	6
Summary of key audit risks	7
Value for money conclusion	8
Use of resources judgement	11
Performance information	11
Best Value Performance Plan	11
Financial statements	11
Whole of government accounts	13
Certification of claims and returns	14
Other information	15
Outputs from the audit and inspection plan	15
The team	15
Future audit plans	17
Appendix 1 – Audit and inspection fee	18
Specific audit risk factors	18
Assumptions	19
Specific actions Islington Council could take to reduce its audit fees	19
Process for agreeing any changes in audit fees	20
Appendix 2 – Criteria to inform the auditor's conclusion on proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources	21
Appendix 3 – Planned outputs	23
Appendix 4 - The Audit Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity	24

Introduction

- 1 This plan sets out the audit and inspection work that we propose to undertake in 2006/07. The plan has been drawn up from our risk-based approach to audit planning and the requirements of the new Comprehensive Performance Assessment CPA – the Harder Test. It reflects:
 - our responsibilities under the Code of Audit Practice;
 - audit and inspection work specified by the Audit Commission for 2006/07;
 - your local risks and improvement priorities; and
 - current national risks relevant to your local circumstances.
- 2 Your relationship manager will continue to help ensure further integration and co-ordination with the work of other inspectorates.

Our responsibilities

- 3 In carrying out our audit and inspection duties we have to comply with the statutory requirements governing them, and in particular:
 - the Audit Commission Act 1998 and the Code of Audit Practice (the Code) with regard to audit; and
 - the Local Government Act 1999 with regard to best value inspection and audit.
- 4 The Code defines auditors' responsibilities in relation to:
 - the financial statements of audited bodies; and
 - audited bodies' arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in their use of resources. Auditors are now required to draw a positive conclusion regarding the Council's arrangements for ensuring value for money in its use of resources. We will give the first such conclusion by the end of September 2006 as part of the 2005/06 audit.

The fee

- 5 For 2006/07 the Audit Commission has changed its fee scale structure and details are set out in the Commission's darft work programme and fee scales 2006/07. Audit fees are based on a number of variables, including the type, size, location and complexity of the audited body and the national and local risks.
- 6 Inspection fees are based on the actual number of days included in the plan for each programmed activity.
- 7 The total fee estimate for the audit work planned for 2006/07 is £507,000 and the total fee estimate for inspection work planned for 2006/07 is £147,000. This compares with a total audit and inspection fee of £520,000 in 2005/06. The significant increase in inspection fee is because a corporate assessment will be undertaken in the period.
- 8 In addition we estimate that we will charge approximately £220,000 for the certification of claims and returns.
- 9 The audit and inspection fees include all work identified in this plan unless specifically excluded. Further details are provided in Appendix 1 which includes specific audit risk factors, the assumptions made when determining the audit fee, specific actions Islington Council could take to reduce its audit fees and the process for agreeing any additional fees.
- 10 Changes to the plan and the fee may be necessary if our audit risk assessment changes during the course of the audit. This is particularly relevant to work related to:
 - the opinion on the 2006/07 accounts, since we have yet to audit the accounts for 2005/06, and detailed financial reporting requirements for 2006/07 are not yet known; and
 - work on selected performance indicators, since we have yet to assess your overall arrangements for securing the quality of this data and then to undertake a formal risk assessment.
- 11 We will formally advise you if any changes to the fee become necessary.

CPA and inspections

- 12 We have applied the principles set out in the new CPA framework, CPA the harder test recognising the key strengths/weaknesses in Islington's performance. These include:
 - improvements have been achieved in priority areas such as social housing, education and housing benefits and the Council has improved in 78% of key local government performance indicators; and
 - areas for further improvement include recycling services and processing of major planning applications. Council spend is slightly above average, but this is balanced against performance improvement and below average council tax rates.
- 13 Our inspection activity will focus on the following:
 - corporate assessment; and
 - direction of travel.

Inspection activity	Reason/impact
Relationship Manager role	To act as the Commission's primary point with the authority and the interface at the local level between the Commission and the other inspectorates, Government Offices and other key stakeholders.
Direction of travel statement	To provide focus for continuous improvement and to include in CPA scorecard.
Corporate assessment	We will carry out a corporate assessment in line with the processes outlined in CPA the Harder Test. Our approach will be a combined corporate assessment and Joint Area Review which will include an inspection of children's services for children and young people.

Table 1Summary of inspection activity

Supporting people inspection

14 Our Housing Inspectorate will carry out an inspection of your supporting people programme in conjunction with the Commission for Social Care Inspection and Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Probation.

Islington London Borough Council

Summary of key audit risks

- **15** This section summarises our assessment and the planned response to the key audit risks which may have an impact on our objectives to:
 - provide an opinion on your financial statements;
 - provide a conclusion on your use of resources;
 - provide a scored judgment on the use of resources to feed into the CPA process;
 - undertake audit work in relation to specified performance indicators to support the service assessment element of CPA; and
 - provide a report on the Council's best value performance plan (BVPP).
- 16 In assessing risk we have; had discussions with officers on both national and local risks, considered your risk register to identify key business risks, and considered area profiles.
- 17 Our planned work takes into account information from other regulators, where available. Where risks are identified that are not mitigated by information from other regulators, or your own risk management processes, including Internal Audit, we will perform work as appropriate to enable us to provide a conclusion on your arrangements.

Value for money conclusion

- 18 The Code of Audit Practice requires us to issue a conclusion on whether you have proper arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of your resources (the value for money conclusion). The Audit Commission has developed relevant criteria for auditors to apply in reaching our value for money conclusion as required under the Code of Audit Practice. These criteria are listed in Appendix 2. In meeting this responsibility, we will review evidence that is relevant to the Council's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements. We will give the first such conclusion by the end of September 2006 as part our audit of the 2005/06 accounts. This may influence our risk assessment for similar work to be carried out as part of the 2006/07 and we will keep you informed of any changes to this plan that may become necessary.
- **19** Using our cumulative knowledge and experience, including the results of previous work and other regulators' work, we have identified the following areas of audit risk to be addressed.

Table 2Summary of use of resources audit risks			
Audit risk	Response		
There are a number of budget pressures potentially impacting on the Council's financial standing. Of most significance is the uncertainty surrounding parking income. The Council has a good track record of effective budgetary control however the potential need to make significant savings to compensate for loss of budgeted income, in a shortened timescale, will prove challenging.	We will maintain a watching brief of the Council's response to this risk, the development of contingency plans and the delivery of savings in response to any shortfall in income. We will also carry out a more in depth review of the Council's arrangements for securing efficiencies and ensuring value for money.		
The Authority is overspending in adult social care in the areas of residential, nursing care and domiciliary package. Significant changes have been made to contracts over recent years to enable economic, efficient and effective care to be delivered.	We will undertake an audit of commissioning in residential, nursing care and domiciliary care to enable the Council to learn from best practice to identify areas for improvement. Work to be scoped to ensure children's services are able to share learning.		
The Council has made a number of changes to the arrangements for management of the capital programme. Areas that require further development include more widespread use of whole life costing throughout the Council.	We will review of revised capital arrangements and progress with implementing whole life costing across the Council.		
 Previous work has highlighted the need to further develop some aspects of value for value for money including: More consistent use of comparator information to benchmark services; successfully tackling areas identified as high cost; and monitoring targets for efficiency savings to ensure 	We will follow the Council's progress in implementing these improvements.		

dit rick Table 2 C. fiid f

Audit risk	Response
their achievement.	
A number of areas have been reviewed as part of previous audit and inspection plans and action plans developed. There is a risk that these recommendations have not been implemented due to	We will review the arrangements for monitoring implementation of recommendations and progress made in implementing a sample of recommendations from previous reports.
changes in structure since the reports were drafted.	

Use of resources judgement

- In addition to the Code requirements described above, the Audit Commission requires auditors to make more qualitative assessments of the effectiveness of those arrangements in the form of a series of use of resources judgements. The key lines of enquiry (KLOEs) issued in June 2005 will be updated in Spring 2006 to reflect the lessons learned from the first year's experiences of applying the KLOEs, following a post implementation review of the assessment. Our fee estimate 2006/07 assumes that the KLOEs will be broadly similar to those used in 2005/06. If this changes we will discuss with you the implications, including any impact on the fee.
- 21 These judgements are also used by the Commission as the basis for its overall use of resources judgement for the annual CPA.

Performance information

- 22 Auditors are required to undertake audit work in relation to specified performance indicators to support the service assessment element of CPA. This work will be risk based and will link in part to our review of the Council's overall arrangements to secure data quality as required for our vfm conclusion. Our fee estimate includes an element for this work on the basis that we will assess Islington Council as medium risk in relation to its performance indicators.
- 23 This risk assessment may change depending on our assessment of your overall arrangements. When we have finalised our risk assessment we will update our plan including any impact on the fee quoted above.

Best Value Performance Plan

24 We are required to consider and report on whether or not you have complied with legislation and statutory guidance in respect of the preparation and publication of your Best value performance plan (BVPP).

Financial statements

- 25 We will carry out our audit of the 2006/07 financial statements and comply with the International Standards on auditing (UK and Ireland).
- 26 We are also required to review whether the Statement on Internal Control has been presented in accordance with relevant requirements and to report if it does not meet these requirements or if the statement is misleading or inconsistent with our knowledge.
- 27 On the basis of our preliminary work to date we have identified the following audit risks.

Table 3 Summary of opinion risks		
Opinion risks	Response	
A robust review of fixed assets against other sources (e.g. legal and property terriers) had not been undertaken. This weakness led to an understatement of assets on the balance sheet, which was corrected in the audited accounts.	Review arrangements to improve the accuracy of the fixed asset register.	
A new Council Tax system will be implemented during the year.	We will monitor implementation of the new system and perform audit procedures as necessary to ensure the financial statements are not misstated.	
There are significant changes planned to the statement of recommended practice (SORP) 2007.	We will work with officers during the closure of 2006/07 accounts to review the implementation of these changes	
The whole of government accounts timetable will mean an earlier closedown of accounts is required. This will have an impact on the Council financial statements, with a greater reliance on estimated information and revisions to the closedown timetable to achieve earlier closedown. This may impact on the quality of the accounts produced.	We will work with the Council to ensure a robust timetable is being developed and quality is being considered as part of the closedown process.	

- 28 Our fee estimate for 2006/07 is based on the assumption that the current standard of working papers will be improved and that internal audit will complete their planned work on key information systems to the agreed quality and by the end of December 2006 and that the accounts will be prepared and fully supported by working papers by June 2006.
- 29 We have yet to undertake the audit of the 2005/06 financial statements and our 2006/07 financial statements audit planning will continue as the year progresses. This will take account of:
 - the 2005/06 opinion audit;
 - our documentation and initial testing of material information systems;
 - our assessment of the 2006/07 closedown arrangements; and
 - any changes in financial reporting requirements.

30 When we have finalised our risk assessment in respect of your financial statements, we will update our plan in advance of the audit detailing our specific approach, including any impact on the fee quoted above.

Whole of government accounts

31 The government is introducing whole of government accounts (WGA) in order to produce consolidated accounts for the whole public sector. WGA will include the accounts of local authorities and WGA data returns will be required to be audited. The Audit Commission is currently discussing the scope of the likely audit work with stakeholders. The fee for this work is not included in this plan and we will discuss this with the Chief Executive and the Corporate Services Committee when further details are available.

Certification of claims and returns

- 32 We will continue to certify the Council's claims and returns:
 - claims for £50,000 or below will not be subject to certification;
 - claims between £50,001 and £100,000 will be subject to a reduced, light touch, certification audit; and
 - claims over £100,000 have an audit approach relevant to the auditor's assessment of the control environment and management preparation of claims. A robust control environment would lead to a reduced audit approach for these claims.
- 33 Charges for this work are based on skill-related fees scales set out in the Audit Commission's work programme and fee scales 2006/07. Based on this, and on the assumption that the level of grant work will remain unchanged we estimate that the fees for grant certification work will be around £220,000.

Other information

Outputs from the audit and inspection plan

34 The expected outputs from our planned audit and inspection work are listed in Appendix 3.

The team

Table 4		
Name Title		
Les Kidner	Relationship Manager & Appointed Auditor	
Shahida Nasim Audit Manager		
Jacqueline Barry-Purssell	Area Performance Lead	

- 35 We are not aware of any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the team, and which are required to be disclosed under auditing and ethical standards.
- **36** We comply with the ethical standards promulgated by the Auditing Practices Board and with the Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity as set out at Appendix 4.

Future audit plans

- 37 As part of our planning process, we have taken the opportunity to look at potential issues for future years' programmes. Key areas identified include:
 - ALMO re-inspection;
 - waste recycling; and
 - leisure services.
- 38 We will discuss these in more detail as the audit year progresses.

Appendix 1 – Audit and inspection fee

Table 5	-	
Fee estimate	Plan 2006/07 £000s	Plan 2005/06 £000s
Audit		
Accounts	340	311
Use of resources	167	160
Total audit fee	507	471
Inspection		
Relationship management	26	*
Service inspection		*
Corporate inspection	121	*
Total inspection fee	147	49
Total audit and inspection fee	654	520
Certification of grants and returns	220	210
Voluntary improvement work		4

* Comparative information is not available for 2005/06 due to the changed fee structure.

- 1 The total audit fee compared to the indicative fee banding equates to 10 per cent above mid-point.
- 2 The fee (plus VAT) will be charged in 12 equal instalments from April 2006 to March 2007.
- 3 The fee above includes all work contained in this plan except:
 - any work required in relation to the Whole of Government Accounts discussed in paragraph 30.

Specific audit risk factors

• In setting the audit fee we have taken account of the specific risk factors identified at tables 2 and 3.

Assumptions

- 4 In setting the audit fee we have assumed:
 - you will inform us of significant developments impacting on our audit;
 - Internal Audit meets the appropriate professional standards;
 - Internal Audit undertakes appropriate work on all material information systems that provide figures in the financial statements sufficient that we can place reliance for the purposes of our audit recognising the shift in requirements introduced by the International Standards on Auditing (ISA);
 - officers will provide good quality working papers and records to support the financial statements;
 - officers will provide requested information within agreed timescales;
 - officers will provide prompt responses to draft reports; and
 - the Key Lines of Enquiry for our Use of Resources judgement will be broadly similar to those used in 2005/06.
- 5 Where these requirements are not met or our assumptions change, we will be required to undertake additional work which is likely to result in an increased audit fee.
- 6 Changes to the plan will be agreed with you. These may be required if:
 - new risks emerge;
 - additional work is required of us by the Audit Commission or other regulators; and
 - there are any changes to financial reporting requirements, professional auditing standards or legislation which results in additional audit work.

Specific actions Islington Council could take to reduce its audit fees

- 7 The Audit Commission requires its auditors to inform a council of specific actions it could take to reduce its audit fees. We have identified the following actions Islington Council could take:
 - provide a complete electronic file of cross referenced final accounts working papers;
 - further develop the quality assurance process to review the draft financial statements before they are presented for audit;
 - officers provide good quality working papers and records to support grant claims; and
 - prompt responses are received to audit queries on grant claims.

Process for agreeing any changes in audit fees

8 If we need to amend the audit or inspection fees during the course of this plan we will firstly discuss this with the Chief Executive/Director of Finance. We will then prepare a report outlining the reasons why the fee needs to change for discussion with the Corporate Services Committee.

Islington London Borough Council

Audit and Inspection Plan | Appendix 2 – Criteria to inform the auditor's conclusion on proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources **21**

Appendix 2 – Criteria to inform the auditor's conclusion on proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

Arrangements for establishing strategic and operational objectives and for determining policy and making decisions

1 The body has put in place arrangements for setting, reviewing and implementing its strategic and operational objectives.

Arrangements for ensuring that services meet the needs of users and taxpayers, and for engaging with the wider community

2 The body has put in place channels of communication with service users and other stakeholders including partners, and there are monitoring arrangements to ensure that key messages about services are taken into account.

Arrangements for monitoring and reviewing performance, including arrangements to ensure data quality

- 3 The body has put in place arrangements for monitoring and scrutiny of performance, to identify potential variances against strategic objectives, standards and targets, for taking action where necessary, and reporting to members.
- 4 The body has put in place arrangements to monitor the quality of its published performance information, and to report the results to members.

Arrangements for ensuring compliance with established policies, procedures, laws and regulations

5 The body has put in place arrangements to maintain a sound system of internal control.

Arrangements for identifying, evaluating and managing operational and financial risks and opportunities, including those arising from involvement in partnerships and joint working

6 The body has put in place arrangements to manage its significant business risks.

22 Audit and Inspection Plan | Appendix 2 – Criteria to inform the auditor's conclusion on proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

Arrangements for ensuring compliance with the general duty of best value

7 The body has put in place arrangements to manage and improve value for money.

Arrangements for managing its financial and other resources, including arrangements to safeguard the financial standing of the audited body

- 8 The body has put in place a medium-term financial strategy, budgets and a capital programme that are soundly based and designed to deliver its strategic priorities.
- 9 The body has put in place arrangements to ensure that its spending matches its available resources.
- **10** The body has put in place arrangements for managing performance against budgets.
- 11 The body has put in place arrangements for the management of its asset base.

Arrangements for ensuring that the audited body's affairs are managed in accordance with proper standards of conduct, and to prevent and detect fraud and corruption

12 The body has put in place arrangements that are designed to promote and ensure probity and propriety in the conduct of its business.

Appendix 3 – Planned outputs

1 Our reports will be discussed and agreed with the appropriate officers before being issued to the Audit Committee.

Table 6			
Planned output	Start date	Draft due date	Key contact
Audit and inspection plan*	1 February 2006	31 March 2006	Audit Manager
Interim audit memorandum	ТВА	ТВА	Audit Manager
BVPP report	ТВА	ТВА	Audit Manager
Report on selected PIs (if applicable)	ТВА	ТВА	Audit Manager
Report on financial statements to those charged with governance	August 2007	September 2007	Audit Manager
Opinion on financial statements	ТВА	ТВА	District Auditor
Vfm conclusion	ТВА	ТВА	Performance Lead
Final accounts memorandum	1 July 2007	October 2007	Audit Manager
Review of Commissioning	ТВА	ТВА	Performance Lead
Review of capital arrangements	ТВА	ТВА	Performance Lead
Corporate Assessment		December 2006	Relationship Manger
Annual audit and inspection letter (including direction of travel assessment)	October 2007	December 2007	Relationship Manager

* To be revisited during the year to reflect outcome of 2005/06 opinion work and 2006/07 interim visit.

Appendix 4 - The Audit Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity

- 1 Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission are subject to the Code of Audit Practice (the Code) which includes the requirement to comply with ISAs when auditing the financial statements. Professional standards requires auditors to communicate to those charged with governance, at least annually, all relationships that may bear on the firm's independence and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff. The standards also place requirements on auditors in relation to integrity, objectivity and independence.
- 2 The ISA defines 'those charged with governance' as 'those persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity'. In your case the appropriate addressee of communications from the auditor to those charged with governance is the Corporate Services Committee. The auditor reserves the right, however, to communicate directly with the Council on matters which are considered to be of sufficient importance.
- 3 Auditors are required by the Code to:
 - carry out their work with independence and objectivity;
 - exercise their professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the audited body;
 - maintain an objective attitude at all times and not act in any way that might give rise to, or be perceived to give rise to, a conflict of interest; and
 - resist any improper attempt to influence their judgement in the conduct of the audit.
- 4 In addition, the Code specifies that auditors should not carry out work for an audited body that does not relate directly to the discharge of the auditors' functions under the Code. If the Council invites us to carry out risk-based work in a particular area, which cannot otherwise be justified to support our audit conclusions, it will be clearly differentiated as work carried out under section 35 of the Audit Commission Act 1998.
- 5 The Code also states that the Commission issues guidance under its powers to appoint auditors and to determine their terms of appointment. The Standing Guidance for Auditors includes several references to arrangements designed to support and reinforce the requirements relating to independence, which auditors must comply with. These are as follows:
 - any staff involved on Commission work who wish to engage in political activity should obtain prior approval from the Regional Director;
 - audit staff are expected not to accept appointments as lay school inspectors;

Audit and Inspection Plan Appendix 4 - The Audit Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity **25**

- firms are expected not to risk damaging working relationships by bidding for work within an audited body's area in direct competition with the body's own staff without having discussed and agreed a local protocol with the body concerned;
- auditors are expected to comply with the Commission's statements on firms not providing personal financial or tax advice to certain senior individuals at their audited bodies, auditors' conflicts of interest in relation to PFI procurement at audited bodies, and disposal of consultancy practices and auditors' independence;
- auditors appointed by the Commission should not accept engagements which involve commenting on the performance of other Commission auditors on Commission work without first consulting the Commission;
- auditors are expected to comply with the Commission's policy for both the District Auditor and the Senior Manager to be changed on each audit at least once every five years with effect from 1 April 2003 (subject to agreed transitional arrangements);
- audit suppliers are required to obtain the Commission's written approval prior to changing any District Auditor in respect of each audited body; and
- the Commission must be notified of any change of second in command within one month of making the change. Where a District Auditor or senior manager has not previously undertaken audits under the Audit Commission Act 1998 or has not previously worked for the audit supplier, the audit supplier is required to provide brief details of the individual's relevant qualifications, skills and experience.