

Finance Department 7 Newington Barrow Way London N7 7EP

Report of: Corporate Director of Resources

Meeting of: Pensions Sub-Committee

Date: 3rd July 2023

Ward(s): n/a

Subject: Pension Fund Performance 1 January to 31 March 2023

1.	Synopsis
1.1	This is a quarterly report to the Pensions Sub-Committee to allow the Council as administering authority for the Fund to review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly.
2.	Recommendations
2.1	To note the performance of the Fund from 1 January to 31 March 2023 as per BNY Mellon interactive performance report
2.2	To receive the presentation by MJ Hudsons, our independent investment advisers, on our fund managers' quarterly performance attached as Appendix 1.
2.3	To note for information the Mercer NewsAlert LGPS Issues May'23 – Appendix 2
3.	Fund Managers Performance for 1 January to 31 March 2023
3.1	The fund managers' latest quarter net performance figures compared to the benchmark and Mercer ESG ratings is shown in the table below.
	NB: Mercer's ESG ratings provide an assessment of the integration of ESG issues into the investment process and provides an overall rating – ESG 1 is the highest possible

rating and ESG 4 is the lowest possible rating. As such, Mercer has provided the latest ESG ratings for the Fund's 9 strategies across equities, fixed income, DGFs, property and private equity.

3.1 Fund Managers	Asset Allocation	Mandate	*Mercer ESG Rating	Latest Quarter Performance (Jan-Mar'23) Gross of fees		12 Months to March 2023 Performance Gross of feesre	
				Portfolio	Benchmark	Portfolio	Benchmark
LCIV Sustainable EQ- RBC	9.6%	Global equities	1	0.2%	4.8%	-7.6%	-0.9%
LCIV -Newton	18.6%	Global equities	2	5.8%	4.5%	0.2%	-0.9%
Legal & General	13.5%	Global equities	1	4.1%	4.1%	-1.1%	-0.9%
Legal & General-Paris Aligned	9.6%	Global equities	Ν	6.3%	6.3%	n/a	n/a
Polen Capital(previously BMO)	3.9%	Emerging equities	2	2.8%	1.2%	-4.0%	-4.5%
Quinbrook	5.8%	Renewable Infrastructure		-3.9%	2.9%	15.6%	12.0%
Pantheon	4.0%	Infrastructure		1.7%	2.4%	22.1%	10.0%
Aviva (1)	7.5%	UK property	2	-2.2%	2.8% 0.21%	-13.2%	-20.8% -14.7%
ColumbiaThreadneedle Investments (TPEN)	5.2%	UK commercial property	3	0.5%	-0.2%	-13.4%	-14.4%
Hearthstone	1.6%	UK residential property	Ν	0.3%	0.2%	3.2%	-14.7%
Standard Life	4.0%	Corporate bonds	2	2.3%	2.4%	-10.9%	-10.2%
M&G Alpha Opportunities	4.5%	Multi Asset Credit	3	1.7%	1.8%	2.3%	5.7%
Schroders	2.6%	Diversified Growth Fund	2	1.3%	3.0%	-6.5%	18.5%
Churchill Senior loan Fund IV	3.2%	Private Debt	Ν	-1.2%	1.2%	9.9%	5%
Market value of total fund	£1,737m						

2.8% & -20.8% = original Gilts benchmark; 0.2% and -14.7% are the IPD All property index; for information

3.2	BNY Mellon our performance monitoring service provider now provides our quarterly interactive performance report. Performance attributions can be generated via their portal if required.						
3.3	The combined fund performance and benchmark for the last quarter ending March 2023 is shown in the table below.						
		Latest Quarte Gross	er Performan of fees		ths to March'23 ance Gross of fees		
	Combined Fund Performance	Portfolio % 2.7	Benchmar % 3.9	k Portfolic % -3.3	Benchmark % -2.6		
3.4	Copies of the latest quinformation if required		anager's repo	orts are availat	ble to members fo	r	
3.5	Total Fund Position The Islington combined fund absolute performance with the hedge over the 1,3- and 5- year periods to March'23 is shown in the table below.				- and 5-		
	Period		1 year per	3 years per	5 years per		
			annum	annum	annum		
	Combined LBI fund p hedged	erformance	-3.3%	8.6%	6.1%		
	Customised benchma	-2.6%	8.0%	5.7%			
3.6	LCIV RBC Sustainal	oility Fund					
3.6.1	RBC is the fund's global sustainable equity manager on the LCIV platform and was originally appointed in November 2018 to replace our Allianz mandate also on the LCIV platform.						
3.6.2	 LCIV RBC Sustainability was fully funded on 5 August 2019. Mandate guidelines include the following; The sub fund manager will invest only where they find all four forces of competitive dynamics (business model, market share opportunity, end market growth & management and ESG Target performance is MSCI World Index +2% p.a. net of fees over a three-year period. Target tracking error range over three years 2% p.a – 8.0%. Number of stocks 30 to 70 Active share is 85% to 95% 						
3.6.3	The fund underperformed its quarterly benchmark to March by -4.7% and a twelve- month under performance of -6.7%. This was primarily due to stock selection within the financial sector (SVB, First Republic) and specific consumer and health care stock picks						

	(Amazon, Alphabet and Roche) which remain vulnerable to downward revisions in earnings estimates and a weaker economic environment. The manager continues to position the portfolio more cautiously while also aiming to maintain its growth and upside dynamic. LCIV are monitoring performance closely.
3.7	LCIV Newton Investment Management
3.7.1	Newton is the Fund's other global equity manager with an inception date of 1 March 2008. There have been amendments to the mandate the latest being a transfer to the London CIV platform.
3.7.2	The inception date for the LCIV NW Global Equity Fund was 22 May 2017. The new benchmark is the MSCI All Country World Index Total return. The outperformance target is MSCI All Country Index +1.5% per annum net of fees over rolling three- year periods.
3.7.3	The fund returned 5.8% against a benchmark of 4.9% for the March quarter. Since inception, the fund has delivered an absolute return of 11.5% against benchmark of 11.5%. Stock selection was the main contributor to performance mainly from the industrial and healthcare sectors. The focus is on stocks which are perceived to have superior growth potential, even if they are relatively highly valued.
3.7.4	Islington owns 54.8 % of the fund with 2 other local authorities on the LCIV platform.
3.8	The Legal and General Paris Aligned ESG Passive Index
3.8.1	The Paris Aligned Index was set up by transitioning the Internal UK index fund in August 2022. The original mandate was valued at \pm 154m and now stands at \pm 164m.
3.8.2	The quarter performance to March was 6.3% against a benchmark of 6.3%. It should be noted that there are ongoing discussions with London CIV about an oversight recharge invoice received by the Fund in April in addition to the normal investment management fees.
3.9	Legal and General
3.9.1	This is the fund's passive overseas equity index manager. The fund inception date was 8 June 2011, with an initial investment of £67million funded from transfer of assets from AllianzGI (RCM). The funds were managed passively against regional indices to formulate a total FTSE All World Index series. Member agreed restructuring in 2016, and the funding of BMO (our emerging market manager and restructuring of the fund to the MSCI World Low Carbon was completed on 3rd July 2017.
3.9.2	The components of the new mandate as at the end of June inception, was £138m and benchmarked against MSCI World Low Carbon Index and £34m benchmarked against RAFI emerging markets. For this quarter, the fund totalled £233m(224m) with a performance of 4.1% against a benchmark of 4.1%.

3.10	Polen Capital (BMO Global Assets Mgt)
	This is the emerging and frontier equity manager seeded in July 2017 with a total £74.4m withdrawn from LGIM. The mandate details as follows:
	• A blended portfolio with 85% invested in emerging market and 15% in frontier
	 markets Target performance MSCI Emerging Markets Index +3.0% (for the global
	 emerging markets strategy) Expected target tracking error 4-8% p.a
	 The strategy is likely to have a persistent bias towards profitability and invests in high quality companies that pay dividends.
	The mandate was amended in March'21 when the frontier element was liquidated and \$11.3m was returned.
3.10.1	The March quarter saw an over performance of 1.6%. The positives were stock selection Switzerland and Uruguay, while Hong Kong was a detractor.
3.10.2	The transition to Polen Capital was completed on 1 st March as planned. The manager
	investment thesis is bottom-up stock selection and believing that it can reduce risk by only holding the highest conviction positions for up to 5years.
3.11	Aviva
3.11.1	Aviva manages the fund's UK High Lease to Value property portfolio. They were appointed in 2004 and the target of the mandate is to outperform their customised gilts benchmark by 1.5% (net of fees) over the long term. The portfolio is High Lease to Value Property managed under the Lime Property Unit Trust Fund.
3.11.2	The fund for this quarter delivered a return of -2.3% against a gilt benchmark of 2.8%. The All Property IPD benchmark returned 0.2% for this quarter. Since inception, the fund has delivered an absolute return of 5.2%
3.11.3	As at the end of this March quarter the fund's unexpired average lease term is 21 years. The Fund holds 87 assets with 53 tenants. The manager continues to de-risk the portfolio and secure opportunities that will improve the portfolio in terms of distributions, returns and key metrics such as duration, inflation linkage and diversification. There is £115m of investible capital and 5% of cash held.
3.11.4	One of Aviva's objectives in its transition strategy to net zero by 2040 is to reduce real estate carbon intensity by 30% and energy intensity by 10%. The most significant savings will be made through:
	 LED lighting (expected reduction of 7kWh/m2) Smart buildings – Electricity and Gas (expected reduction of 8kWh/m2) Solar panels (expected reduction of 10kWh/m2)
3.12	Columbia Threadneedle Property Pension Limited (TPEN)
3.12.1	This is the fund's UK commercial pooled property portfolio that was fully funded on 14 January 2010 with an initial investment of £45 million. The net asset value at the end of March was £90.3 million (89.8 m Dec)

	The agreed mandate gui	delines are as listed	below:	
	Benchmark: AREF/I 1 April 2014.	PD All Balanced Prop	erty Fund Index (Weig	hted Average) since
	•	1.0% p.a. above th	e benchmark (net of fe	es) over three year
	Portfolio focus is on come from income o	ver the long term.	vith c. 75% of portfolio	returns expected to
	rather than on prime	biased towards secone markets such as Ce bble markets or whe	ndary property markets ntral London. The por n the property market	tfolio may therefore
3.12.2	The fund returned a pert quarter. Since inception			
3.12.3	The cash balance now stands at 1.9%. During the quarter, seven strategic disposals, were made and there were no acquisitions. Rent collection is improving at 96.3% and tenants are being dealt with on a case-by-case basis to enable their viability on the short to medium term.			
3.12.4	The Fund has set net zero target to neutralise carbon emissions within portfolios by 2050. An income distribution share class is now available for investors who want to draw down income. A Redemption Deferral Policy (the Policy) for TPEN PF was enacted effective for investor dealings from 3 October 2022 to protect all Investors' interests as a result of the volatility in the investment market since 23 September 2022.			
3.13	Franklin Templeton			
3.13.1	This is the fund's global property manager appointed in 2010 with an initial investment commitment of £25million. Members agreed in September 2014 to re-commit another \$40million to Fund II to keep our investments at the same level following return of capital through distributions from Fund I. The agreed mandate guidelines are listed below:			
	 Benchmark: Absolute return Target Performance: Net of fees internal rate of return of 15%. Preferred rate of return of 10% p.a. with performance fee only applicable to returns above this point. Bulk of capital expected to be invested between 2 – 4 years following fund close. 			
	 Distributions expected from years 6 – 8, with 100% of capital expected to be returned approximately by year 7. 			
3.13.2	Fund I is now fully committed and drawndown. \$3.5m remains undrawn. The final portfolio is comprised of nine funds and five co-investments. The funds are well diversified as shown in table below:			
	Commitments	Region	% of Total Fund	
		Americas	36	
			·	

	4 5	Europe	26			
		Asia	38			
:	The total distribution \$0.8m	received to the end of	the March quarter is \$	62.1m. The NAV is		
	The Fund is in the harvesting phase of its life cycle and continues to benefit from the realization of investments. The COVID-19 pandemic has interrupted progress on real estate business plans across the globe. Our expectation is that the primary effect upon the Fund will be a delay in execution of asset sales.					
	Fund II is fully invested and the completed portfolio of 10 holdings consist of a diverse mix of property sectors including office, retail and industrial uses and the invested geographic exposure is 6% Asia, US 26% and 68% Europe. The admission period to accept new commitments from investors was extended with our consent through to June 2017 when it finally closed. The total capital call is \$40m and total distribution of \$30.7m. The NAV is \$19.2m					
1	documentation was fin final close on 30 th Dece	alised in December to ember with total equit	I at the December 202 meet the final close da y commitment of \$218	ate. Fund III made its m.		
	23% in USA with a 959	-	geographic exposure of 5% in 2021.	of 77% in Europe and		
	As at the quarter end \$12.4m has been drawdown and a distribution of \$8.6m had been received. No activity this quarter.					
3.14.	Hearthstone					
	 2013, with an initial importfolios. The agreed Target performance Target modern hou Assets subject to de Regional allocation from Academics. A 5-6 locations per reassessments and de Preference is for stere to companies. Total returns expected equally between integral. 	vestment of £20million mandate guidelines ar e: UK HPI + 3.75% ne using with low mainten evelopment risk less th seeks to replicate dist opproximately 45% Lon egion are targeted base ata from Touchstone a ock, which can be let o ted to be between 6.7 come and capital grow	t income. ance characteristics, le nan 5% of portfolio. ribution of UK housing ndon and Southeast. ed on qualitative and q	s from our equity's ss than 10 years old. stock based on data uantitative renancies (ASTs) or with returns split nd costs of 3.75%		

3.14.2	For the March quarter, the value of the fund investment was £28million and total funds under management is £70m. Performance net of fees was 0.4% compared to the IPD UK All Property benchmark of 0.2%.
3.14.3	Members agreed to option 2 to speed the reduction of holdings in the Fund. A £2m redemption as agreed in March is due for payment in July and the proposal is to firm up a plan to cross with new investors to reduce redemption charge. A progress meeting was held in June and will be commented on in MJ Hudsons report.
3.15	Quinbrook Infrastructure
3.15.1	 This one of the infrastructure managers appointed in November 2018. The total fund allocation infrastructure was 10% circa £130m. 40% of the allocation equivalent to \$67m was allocated to low carbon strategy. Merits of Quinbrook include: Low carbon strategy, in line with LB Islington's stated agenda Very strong wider ESG credentials 100% drawn in 12-18 months Minimal blind pool risk Estimated returns 7% cash yield and 5% capital growth
	Drawdown to December 2021 is \$67.0m – this is 100% of our commitment and there was a distribution of \$30m during the quarter.
3.15.2	Islington completed documentation and onboarding to The Net Zero Power Fund on 25 August with a commitment of \$100m. The terms and conditions were negotiated and agreed with a side letter. Total capital call to the end of this quarter was \$52m.
3.16.1	 Pantheon Access- is the other infrastructure manager also appointed in November 2018. Total allocation was \$100m and merits of allocation included: 25% invested with drawdown on day 1 Expect fully drawn within 2-3 years Good vintage diversification between secondaries and co-investments Exposure to 150 investments Estimated return 5% cash yield and 6% capital growth Risks: No primary fund exposure. Drawdown to March 23 is \$89.65m and distribution of \$29.5m
3.17	Schroders
3.17.1	 This is the Fund's diversified growth fund manager. The fund inception date was 1 July 2015, with an initial investment of £100million funded by withdrawals from our equity's portfolios. The agreed mandate guidelines are as follows: Target performance: UK RPI+ 5.0% p.a., Target volatility: two thirds of the volatility of global equities, over a full market cycle (typically 5 years). Aims to invest in a broad range of assets and varies the asset allocation over a
	market cycle.

	 The portfolio holds internally managed funds, a selection of externally managed
	 products and some derivatives. Permissible asset class ranges (%):
	 25-75: Equity
	0- 30: Absolute Return
	0- 25: Sovereign Fixed Income, Corporate Bonds, Emerging Market Debt, High
	 Yield Debt, Index-Linked Government Bonds, Cash 0-20: Commodities, Convertible Bonds
	 0-10: Property, Infrastructure
	0-5: Insurance-Linked Securities, Leveraged Loans, Private Equity.
3.17.2	The value of the portfolio is now £45.7m. The aim is to participate in equity market
	rallies, while outperforming in falling equity markets. The March quarter performance before fees was 1.3% against the benchmark of 3.0% (inflation+5%). The performance since inception is 3.1% against benchmark of 9.6% before fees.
3.17.3	Equity positions contributed+1.0% from the total return, alternatives neutral, credit and government debt contributed +1.3%, and cash and currency detracted 0.4%.
3.17.4	The new benchmark effective from 1 April 2022 is ICE BofA Sterling 3-Month
	Government Bill Index plus 4.5% per annum.
3.18	Standard Life
0110	
3.18.1	Standard Life has been the fund's corporate bond manager since November 2009. Their objective is to outperform the Merrill Lynch UK Non Gilt All Stock Index by 0.8% per annum over a 3 -year rolling period. During the March quarter, the fund returned 2.3% against a benchmark of 2.4% and an absolute return of 4.1% per annum since inception.
3.18.2	Stock selection was a small positive.
3.18.3	The agreed infrastructure mandates are being funded from this portfolio and to date £80m has been drawn down.
3.19	Passive Hedge
	The fund currently targets to hedge 50% of its overseas equities to the major currencies dollar, euro and yen. The passive hedge is run by BNY Mellon our custodian. At the end of the March quarter, the hedged overseas equities had a positive cash value of £6.7m
3.19.1	The hedge has now been in place since 25 November 2020 for quarterly hedge rolls
2.20	
3.20	M&G Alpha Opportunities This is the multi asset credit manager appointed and funded on 1 st March 2021. The total allocation is approximately 5% funded mostly from profit made from equity protection in March 2020.
	The mandate guidelines of M&G include

	 Fund can invest across the full spectrum of developed market corporate credit (IG, HY, Loans) as well as securitised credit (ABS, MBS), some illiquid
	opportunities and defensive holdings (e.g. cash).
	 Investment process is predominantly bottom up, with a defensive value style that
	seeks to buy cheap mispriced securities.
	• Targets a return of 1 month LIBOR +3% - 5% (gross of fees) over an investment
	cycle (3-5 years)
	No local currency EM debt is permitted
	Low level of interest rate duration
	 Maximum exposure to sub-investment grade credit of 50% of assets,
	 Focus is primarily on Europe, although there is some exposure to the US (c.
	15%).
	Risk and triggers for review:
	Key man - risk
	Issues at the firm level
	Change in investment process/ structure or risk/return profile of the mandate.
	• Failure to deliver target return over 3 Year period of Cash +3% - 5% (gross of
	 fees), unless there is a compelling market-based reason for underperformance Downgrade of Mercer rating lower than B+
	 Downgrade of Mercer rating lower than B+ Downgrade of Mercer ESG rating lower than ESG3.
	 Long term trend of staff turnover and changes within the investment team.
	Long term d'end of stan tarnover and enanges wann die investment team
3.20.1	The March quarter performance was 1.7% against a benchmark of 1.8% and a one year
	under performance of -3.4%. The primary contributors to performance were exposures
	and performance or -5.770. The primary contributors to performance were exposules
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans.
4.	
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications
4. 4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications:
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications:
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation.
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension
	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly.
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment:
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment: The Council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment: The Council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and to advance equality of
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment: The Council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and to advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations, between those who share a relevant protected
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment: The Council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and to advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations, between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not share it (section 149 Equality Act 2010). The
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment: The Council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and to advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations, between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not share it (section 149 Equality Act 2010). The Council has a duty to have due regard to the need to remove or minimise
4.1	 to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment: The Council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and to advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations, between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not share it (section 149 Equality Act 2010). The Council has a duty to have due regard to the need to remove or minimise disadvantages, take steps to meet needs, in particular steps to take account of disabled
4.1	to corporate bonds and leveraged loans. Implications Financial implications: The fund actuary takes investment performance into account when assessing the employer contributions payable, at the triennial valuation. Fund management and administration fees and related cost are charged to the pension fund. Legal Implications: As the administering authority for the Fund, the Council must review the performance of the Fund investments at regular intervals and review the investments made by Fund Managers quarterly. Equality Impact Assessment: The Council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and to advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations, between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not share it (section 149 Equality Act 2010). The Council has a duty to have due regard to the need to remove or minimise

4.4	An equalities impact assessment has not been conducted because this report is an update on performance of existing fund managers and there are no equalities issues arising. Environmental Implications and contribution to achieving a net zero carbon Islington by 2030: Environmental implications will be included in each report to the Pensions-sub committee as necessary. The current agreed investment strategy statement for pensions outlines the policies and targets set to April 2022 to reduce the current and future carbon exposure by 50% and 75% respectively compared to when it was measured in 2016 and also invest 15% of the fund in green opportunities. The link to the full document is: https://www.islington.gov.uk/~/media/sharepoint-lists/public-records/finance/financialmanagement/adviceandinformation/20192020/20190910londo nboroughofislingtonpensionfundinvestmentstrategystatement.pdf
5.	Conclusion and reasons for recommendations
5.1	Members are asked to note the performance of the fund for the quarter ending March 2023 as part of the regular monitoring of fund performance and Appendix 1- MJ Hudson commentary on managers. Appendix 2 and Mercer NewsAlert LGPS current issues as at May'23 is attached for information.

Appendices: Appendix 1 – MJ Hudson Fund Mgr monitoring report Appendix 2 - News Alert LGPS Current Issues as at Mar'23

Background papers:

- 1. Quarterly management reports from the Fund Managers to the Pension Fund.
- 2. Quarterly performance monitoring statistics for the Pension Fund BNY Mellon

Final report clearance:

Signed by: David Hodgkinson

Corporate Director of Resources

Date:

Report Author:Joana MarfohTel:0207-527-2382Fax:0207-527-2056Email:joana.marfoh@islington.gov.uk

legal implications author : n/a